

APPEAL NO. 032602  
FILED NOVEMBER 12, 2003

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing was held on August 19, 2003. The hearing officer determined that the appellant (claimant) is not entitled to supplemental income benefits (SIBs) for the first, second, third, and fourth quarters. The claimant appeals, contending that he was entitled to SIBs for the relevant quarters based on a total inability to work in any capacity. The respondent (carrier) urges affirmance.

DECISION

Affirmed.

Eligibility criteria for SIBs entitlement are set forth in Section 408.142(a) and Tex. W.C. Comm'n, 28 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 130.102 (Rule 130.102). The SIBs criterion in issue is whether the claimant made a good faith effort to obtain employment commensurate with his ability to work during the qualifying periods for the first, second, third, and fourth quarters. The claimant contends that he had no ability to work during the qualifying periods in dispute. Rule 130.102(d)(4) provides that an injured employee has made a good faith effort to obtain employment commensurate with the employee's ability to work if the employee has been unable to perform any type of work in any capacity, has provided a narrative report from a doctor which specifically explains how the injury causes a total inability to work, and no other records show that the injured employee is able to return to work. Rule 130.102(e) provides in part that, except as provided in subsection (d)(1), (2), (3), and (4) of Rule 130.102, an injured employee who has not returned to work and is able to return to work in any capacity shall look for employment commensurate with his or her ability to work every week of the qualifying period and document his or her job search efforts.

The hearing officer considered the evidence and found that the claimant was able to work in some capacity during the relevant qualifying periods and that he did not attempt, in good faith, to obtain employment commensurate with his ability to work during the relevant qualifying periods. The hearing officer, in her Statement of the Evidence, discussed her rationale in some detail. Further, the evidence does not reflect that the claimant documented a job search during the qualifying periods for the first through fourth quarter qualifying periods with the exception of three job contacts in the first quarter qualifying period.

The hearing officer is the sole judge of the weight and credibility of the evidence. Section 410.165(a). As the finder of fact, the hearing officer resolves the conflicts in the evidence and determines what facts have been established. We conclude that the hearing officer's decision is supported by sufficient evidence and that it is not so against

the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be clearly wrong and unjust. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986).

Accordingly, the hearing officer's decision and order are affirmed.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **TRAVELERS INDEMNITY COMPANY OF CONNECTICUT** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

**CT CORPORATION  
350 NORTH ST. PAUL STREET  
DALLAS, TEXAS 75201.**

---

Thomas A. Knapp  
Appeals Judge

CONCUR:

---

Elaine M. Chaney  
Appeals Judge

---

Gary L. Kilgore  
Appeals Judge